CODE REVISER USE ONLY



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER					
STATE OF WASHINGTON					
FILED					

DATE: December 22, 2021 TIME: 10:13 AM

WSR 22-01-220

 Expedited Rule Ma Proposal is exemp Proposal is exemp 	SR ment of Inqu kingPropo t under RC\	uiry was filed as WSR <u>21-19-077</u> osed notice was filed as WSR N 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); o				
 Continuance of WS Preproposal State Expedited Rule Ma Proposal is exemp Proposal is exemp 	SR ment of Inqu kingPropo t under RC\	uiry was filed as WSR <u>21-19-077</u> osed notice was filed as WSR				
 Preproposal State Expedited Rule Ma Proposal is exemp Proposal is exemp 	ment of InquinkingPropo t under RCN	osed notice was filed as WSR				
 Expedited Rule Ma Proposal is exemp Proposal is exemp 	kingPropo t under RCN	osed notice was filed as WSR				
 Proposal is exemp Proposal is exemp 	t under RC		1.07			
□ Proposal is exemp		N 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); o	, 01			
	t under RC		r			
Title of rule and othe		N				
	r identifying	information: (describe subject) V	VAC 458-61A-214 Nominee.			
Hearing location(s):		(NOTE – this meeting location h				
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:			
	10:00 A.M.	Conference Room 114	Contact Keith Dacus at KeithD@dor.wa.gov for			
2022		6400 Linderson Way SW	login/dial-in information.			
		•				
Date of intended ador	ntion: Febru		e effective date)			
		<u></u> (
		WA 98504-7453				
		WA 30304 7433				
	wa.gov					
	uary 31, 202	2				
•						
		—				
. ,						
	-		v changes in existing rules:			
excise tax in transfers involving a nominee. The proposed amendments are intended to resolve existing conflict within the						
rule, specifically by replacing the example in WAC 458-61A-214(5)(b) with a new one. Presently, the example in						
subsection (5)(b) of th		coveral other amondments to the	rule in order to improve the rule's clarity and			
subsection (5)(b) of the Department is also						
Tumwater, Washington virtual attendance option Date of intended adoption: February 4, 2022 (Note: This is NOT the effective date) Submit written comments to: Name: Brenton M Madison Address: PO Box 47453, Olympia, WA 98504-7453 Email: BrentonM@dor.wa.gov Fax: 360-534-1606 Other: N/A By (date) Monday, January 31, 2022 Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Julie King or Renee Cosare Phone: (360)704-5733 or (360) 704-5734 Fax: TTY: 800-833-6384 Email: Other: By (date) Thursday, January 6, 2022 Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The Department plans to amend WAC 458-61A-214. WAC 458-61A-214 describes the application of the real estate excise tax in transfers involving a nominee. The proposed amendments are intended to resolve existing conflict within the						

Reasons supporting proposal: Clarifies the Department's policy for transfers of real property that involve a nominee for purposes of the real estate excise tax.					
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 82.04.060(2) and RCW 82.45.150.					
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 82.45.010.				
Is rule necessary	because of a:				
Federal Lav		🗆 Yes 🛛 No			
Federal Co	urt Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No		
State Court If yes, CITATION:	Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No		
	ts or recommendations, if any	, as to statutory language, implementation, o	enforcement, and fiscal		
matters: None.					
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization) Dep	partment of Revenue	Private		
nume of propond					
			⊠ Governmental		
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting:	Brenton Madison	6400 Linderson Way SW, Tumwater, WA	(360) 534-1583		
Implementation:	John Ryser	6400 Linderson Way SW, Tumwater, WA	(360) 534-1605		
Enforcement:	John Ryser	6400 Linderson Way SW, Tumwater, WA	(360) 534-1605		
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135?					
The public may	/ obtain a copy of the school dist	trict fiscal impact statement by contacting:			
Name:					
Address	:				
Phone: Fax:					
TTY:					
Email:					
Other:					
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?					
Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:					
Name:					
Address	:				
Phone:					
Fax: TTY:					
Email:					
Other:					
	se explain: This rule is not a sigr	ificant legislative rule as defined by RCW 34.05	5.328.		

Regulatory	Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:					
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):						
adopted so regulation t adopted. Citation and This rule defined by	lely to conform and/or comply with federal statute his rule is being adopted to conform or comply wi d description: e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this pro	or regu th, and o because posed r				
	 proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt a referendum. 	under th	ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
	(correct of clamy language)		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
□ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under R				
	of exemptions, if necessary:					
	· · ·					
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION C	ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES			
If the propo	sed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-tha	n-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
 No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. The proposed rule amendments do not impose more than a minor cost on businesses as they do not impose any new fees, filing requirements, or recordkeeping guidelines that have not already been established by statute or by the Department of Revenue in the administration of real estate excise tax. Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here: 						
Conta N A P F T T	public may obtain a copy of the small business ed acting: ame: ddress: hone: ax: TY: mail: ther:		impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by			
Date: Dece	mber 22, 2021	Signat	ure:			
Name: Atif	Name: Atif Aziz		Att 1:			
Title: Rules Coordinator			1-28			

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 05-23-093, filed 11/16/05, effective 12/17/05)

WAC 458-61A-214 Nominee. (1) Introduction. This rule describes the application of the real estate excise tax in transfers involving a nominee. A "nominee" is a person who acts as an agent on behalf of another person in the purchase of real property.

(a) This rule includes examples that identify a set of facts and then state a conclusion. These examples are only a general guide. The department of revenue (department) will evaluate each case on its particular facts and circumstances.

(b) In addition to this rule, readers may want to refer to WAC 458-61A-213 IRS "tax deferred" exchange.

(2) **Initial acquisition.** The initial acquisition of property by a nominee on behalf of a third-party <u>purchaser (third party)</u> is subject to the real estate excise tax.

(3) **Subsequent transfer**. The ((later)) <u>subsequent</u> transfer of the property by the nominee to the third-party purchaser is subject to real estate excise tax, unless ((each)) <u>all</u> of the following requirements ((is)) <u>are met indicating the transaction is not a sale under RCW 82.45.010 (nominee exclusion):</u>

(a) The proper tax was paid on the initial purchase of the property by the nominee;

(b) The funds used by the nominee to acquire the property were provided by the third party;

(c) The <u>agreement between the nominee and the</u> third party ((legally)) existed at the time of the initial ((transaction)) <u>acquisition</u> of the real property by the nominee;

(d) In cases where the third party is a corporation, partnership, association, trust or other entity, the third party legally existed at the time of the initial acquisition of the real property by the nominee; and

(((d))) <u>(e)</u>(i) The subsequent transfer from the nominee to the third party is not for a greater consideration than that of the initial acquisition <u>and the nominee does not receive any consideration in exchange for acting as an agent of the third party</u>; or

(ii) In the case where the nominee is a licensed contractor and the subsequent transfer to the third party (((customer))) reflects the completed construction contract, the retail sales tax is collected on the construction contract and remitted to the department. See also WAC 458-61A-104 <u>Assignments</u>.

((For example, Sara finds a home to buy. However, she is in the military and has learned she is going to be called to duty out of the country. She gives her money for the home purchase to Tom, who finalizes the purchase and obtains the mortgage in his name. Sara pays the down payment, closing costs, and makes all the payments on the mortgage. When Sara returns from duty, Tom will transfer the home back to her, and she will refinance the mortgage into her own name. Tom's transfer to Sara is exempt from real estate excise tax, as Tom was acting as her nominee in the purchase of the home and all funds associated with the purchase of the home have come from Sara.))

(4) **<u>Proof of payment.</u>** If the nominee is a licensed contractor transferring to the ((third-party principal)) third party at the completion of a construction contract, proof of the payment to the department of retail sales tax on the construction contract must be attached to the affidavit.

((For example,)) **Example 1.** Bill contracted with ((Phil's)) <u>ABC</u> Construction (<u>ABC</u>) to build a home for him on ((<u>a lot Phil</u>)) <u>an unim-</u> <u>proved parcel of real property ABC</u> will acquire. ((Phil buys a lot)) <u>ABC purchases the parcel of real property from Kevin, an unrelated in-</u> <u>dividual, using funds provided entirely by Bill</u>. Real estate excise tax is paid on the sale from Kevin to ((Phil. Phil's Construction)) <u>ABC. ABC</u> builds the home and collects retail sales tax <u>from Bill</u> on the total construction contract, which is then remitted to the department ((of revenue. Phil's Construction)). <u>ABC</u> files a real estate excise tax affidavit with the county, together with proof that retail sales tax has been paid. <u>Bill pays the full price for the new home and</u> the parcel of land it was built upon. The transfer of ((the lot and <u>completed home from Phil's Construction</u>)) <u>real property, including the</u> home and the parcel of land it was built upon, from <u>ABC</u> to Bill is exempt from real estate excise tax, <u>as ABC acted as a nominee on behalf</u> of <u>Bill</u>.

(5) Documentation. ((The)) Parties to a nominee arrangement must provide documentation that they have met all the requirements necessary to claim ((this exemption)) the nominee exclusion. Acceptable documentation includes a notarized statement, dated on or before the date of the initial purchase, that the nominee acquired the property on behalf of the third party, or other documentation clearly demonstrating the requirements of subsection (3) of this section have been satisfied. Such documentation may include, but is not limited to, financial documentation evidencing the nominee/third-party relationship existed from the time of the original transfer, and confirming the source of the funds used to purchase the property.

((**Examples**.

(a) Tom is on title to property. Tom wants to transfer the property to Angie and claim the nominee exemption, but they do not have a notarized statement. In lieu of that statement, Angie presents documentation that she provided the funds for the down payment and all closing costs for the initial purchase of the property. Angie also presents documentation that she provided the funds on the first year's payments on the debt after the initial purchase and provided funds for the last year's payments on the debt. This is acceptable documentation that the requirements of subsection (3) of this section have been satisfied.

(b) Dan wants to buy a house and executes an earnest money agreement, contingent on financing. When he applies for a mortgage he is turned down because of insufficient credit. Dan's Uncle Bob agrees to purchase the house in his name and loans Dan the down payment of \$10,000. Dan signs a promissory note agreeing to repay Uncle Bob. Dan makes all the mortgage payments on the property. After two years, Dan has sufficient credit to refinance the debt in his own name. Uncle Bob quitclaims title to Dan.)) Example 2. Diana finds a home to buy in Ta-<u>coma.</u> However, Diana, a member of the military, is called to active duty outside of the country. Before deploying, Diana provides the funds necessary to purchase the home, including the down payment, earnest money, and closing costs, to a friend, Brent, who agrees to act as a nominee on behalf of Diana. Brent finalizes the purchase of the home and takes title in his name. REET is paid on this initial acquisition of the real property. When Diana returns from overseas, Brent transfers the home to Diana. Diana does not provide Brent any consideration for the services provided. This transfer meets the nominee ((exemption)) exclusion requirements because:

(((i))) • Real estate excise tax was paid on the initial transaction <u>(acquisition of the real property by Brent, nominee)</u>;

(((ii) The signed earnest money agreement shows Dan's initial intent to purchase the property in his name;

(iii) Dan has made all the payments on the debt; and

(iv) The signed promissory note is sufficient evidence Uncle Bob did not intend to have a financial interest in the property.)) • The funds used by Brent, nominee, to acquire the real property were provided entirely by Diana, third party;

• The agreement between Brent, nominee, and Diana, third party, existed at the time of the initial acquisition of the real property by Brent; and

• The subsequent transfer of the real property from Brent to Diana was not for a greater consideration than that of the initial acguisition.

(6) **Prior affidavit.** The <u>real estate excise tax</u> affidavit reflecting the claim ((for tax exemption)) that the transfer is excluded from the definition of a sale of real property must show the prior <u>re-</u> <u>al estate excise tax</u> affidavit ((and number)) for the nominee's initial acquisition, including the real estate excise tax affidavit re-<u>ceipt</u> and date of the tax payment.